

Building Assessments: Lessons Learnt

Building Assessment Certificate (BAC) - Tranche 1 & 2 Implementation Insights - October 2025

The Current Picture

The Building Safety Regulator has published sobering findings from Tranche 1 assessments, revealing significant sector-wide challenges

74% of high-rise residential buildings assessed so far have FAILED to meet the standards required for a Building Assessment Certificate (BAC)

This high failure rate highlights substantial gaps across the sector, requiring urgent attention and a demonstrable shift in safety culture and robust safety management systems.

Scale of the Challenge Ahead

Tranche 2 of the BAC application process for 2026/27 includes approximately 1,440 higher-risk buildings directed to apply

Common reasons for rejection include:

- · Gaps in safety case documentation
- Weak resident engagement strategies
- · Insufficient evidence of fire & structural risk management

Key Regulatory Requirements

A comprehensive Safety Case Report must address 12 critical areas:

1. Building overview & knowledge

- Full description of the building: height, age, number of residential units, use type
- Construction methods and materials (e.g., cladding, structural system)
- · Building layout and access routes
- Services and utilities responsibilities including gas layout
- · Known design limitations or legacy issues

2. Works carried out

- · Summary of past refurbishment, remediation, or upgrade works
- Fire safety improvements (e.g., compartmentation, alarms, sprinklers)
- Structural interventions (e.g., strengthening, defect repairs)
- Dates, contractors, and scope of works, competency
- Lessons learned and impact on current safety profile

3. Future Plans for the Building

- · Planned capital works or safety upgrades
- Timeline for future inspections, surveys, or certifications
- Strategy for ongoing compliance and resident engagement

- Integration with asset management and investment planning
- •End of building life plan, where applicable and how you are managing the risks

4. Structural Safety

- · Structural condition and integrity assessments
- Review of structural calculations and inspection reports
- · Known defects and mitigation measures
- Maintenance history and future structural monitoring

5. Fire Safety Measures

- · Fire strategy and compartmentation
- Active and passive systems including cause and effect
- Evacuation procedures, drills, communication and training
- Maintenance and testing records

6. Building Safety Risk Assessments

- Identification and evaluation of fire and structural risks
- Mitigation strategies and control measures
- \cdot Risk prioritisation and monitoring framework





7. Emergency Planning

- · Emergency response protocols
- Coordination with fire and rescue services, any enforcement or past incidents
- Resident communication and contingency planning

8. Safety Management Systems

- Policies and procedures for managing building safety
- · Staff training and competency tracking
- Incident reporting and Mandatory Occurrence Reporting (MOR)

9. Resident Engagement strategy

- MOR policy
- (Required as part of the submission)
- · Communication channels and feedback mechanisms
- Evidence of consultation and transparency
- · Resident involvement in safety planning

10. Evidence & Documentation (Golden Thread)

- · Inspection reports, certifications, maintenance logs
- Demonstration of compliance with legislation and guidance

11. Continuous Improvement

- · Review cycles and update plans
- Integration of lessons learned
- Forward-looking safety strategy

12. Accountable Persons

- Identification of Principal Accountable Person (PAP) and other accountable persons
- · Roles, responsibilities, and governance structure

Common RFI Patterns: Learning from Tranche 1

Peabody submitted 8 BAC applications in Tranche 1, 3 pass outcomes to date, and requests for more information for the remaining blocks. *Key lessons from their RFI responses:*

- Respond promptly: You have 7 calendar days to respond to an RFI—don't ignore it or request extensions without cause
- Use plain English: Demonstrate understanding through clear narrative; technical drawings are secondary and slow review processes
- **Update frameworks:** Incorporate RFI feedback into your safety case report template to avoid repeated questions in Tranche 2
- Document quality: Frequently requested documents include Fire Risk Assessments
 Fire Strategies, Structural risk assessments, and Building Risk Assessments

Tranche 2 Best Practice Approach

Conduct a thorough audit: Don't treat the safety case report as a data dump. Go to site, verify the building matches documentation, and demonstrate how it passes audit standards.

- Include evidence of competency: for all authors of the safety case report, and members that contributed to the building risk assessment, building manager, structural consultants, fire risk assessors etc
- Golden Thread integrity: Review all documentation; ensure documents are complete and don't contradict each other
- Risk acknowledgement: Always include an action plan. Acknowledging risks is acceptable; failing to demonstrate active management is not

To join the Building and Fire Safety Working Group or other NHMF initiatives, contact: neil.watts@peabody.org.uk