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Raven Housing Trust Profile

6200 units

- 5300 maintained using DLO
- 35 Trade staff
- $£ 3 \mathrm{~m}$ responsive budget
- Repairs
(£2.2M)
- Voids (£800k)
- $£ 1 \mathrm{~m}$ contractors
- 2002-2009
- Delivering promises
- Improving homes
- Housing Management
- 2009-10
- Repairs !!


50 square miles


## The Good the Bad and the Ugly

## The Bad

Financial unpredictability

Poor integration of systems

Mistrust of data


## The Good the Bad and the Ugly

## The Bad

20. Silo working

- Repairs team a separate entity

6. "Black holes" in the process

How to manage the customer experience?
. Lots of policies, lack of detailed process

"Imagine if we'd asked them for money!"

## The Good the Bad and the Ugly

## The Ugly

Pre-occupation with PRICE not COST
2. Overhead apportionment non competitive

Awareness of how much things cost?

Perception of internal stakeholders

Money "down the drain"


## Raven Board Expectations

- A fresh perspective
- Predictability \& Financial Control
- "Value for Money"
- Improvements to resident perception - STATUS
- Challenging standards
- Develop management capability
- Improved performance management



## Workshop 3d - DLO operations service

## Influencing the Board

- 2 tier approach
- Subject matter expert working group
- Detailed business models
- Simple key messages
- Residents preferences paramount
- Defining and delivering Excellent Service
- Cost control NOT profit creation
- Value for Money Balanced Scorecard
- Trading Statement as a Benchmark not principal driver


Leadership \& Facts

- Performance Manage effectively; reward excellence
- Independent validation
- M3 Consultancy




## Demonstrating Value For Money



## Successful Outcomes

- Growth for the DLO team
- Customer Value and Cost Control the priority
- A change of emphasis for the Trading Statement
- Rewarding excellence
- A Value for Money model


## How long did we take ?

1. Repairs Working Group appointed by Raven Board
2. Head of Repairs appointed
3. Current performance benchmarking

- Client key performance indicators
- Contractor performance indicators

4. Develop range of options (Outsourcing v Insourcing)
5. Appraisal developed for each option
6. Appointed independent advice (M3 Consultancy)
7. Working group decide "direction of travel"
8. Detailed business plan for all insource options including restructuring of management team
9. Re-run market benchmarking model
10. Finalise Business Plan and Board Paper
11. Board recommendations and approval


Workshop 3d - DLO operations service

## Future Opportunities

- A different perspective
- Cost control by all staff
- Focus on adding value
- Understanding Customer \& Business priorities
- Enhancing front line roles



## Questions and Discussion

National Housing Maintenance Federation Conference

## David Poat \& Nick Wood

Raven Housing Trust
(m3) CONSULTANCY

