



Housing policy: a rushed experiment

- "Abolish first, consult later ..."
- Effectively no new capital grant for 2/3 years
- Rents on new lettings can rise
 - o 80% of market level
 - o Proceeds to fund 150,000 new homes, maybe
- Time limited tenancies
- Benefits to be cut, capped
- CLG took biggest cuts, by far



Some unresolved questions

- 80% of what market rent, where?
- What about existing rents?
- What happens outside London and SE?
- How much power/control will LAs have?
 - o LA 'cartels' already forming talk of 65% not 80%
 - o Some LAs don't want social housing
- What's the 'deal' on new development?
- What happens to the poorest in society?
- Do the sums add up for 150,000 new homes?

CAMPBELL TICKELL

On the horizon

- Semi-privatisation of social housing?
- · More cuts, details to come
- New 'Universal Benefit' to replace existing range of benefits – employment focus
- · Decimation of public sector jobs
- · Local authorities at the sharp end
- Warm words about protecting the poorest



Planet Housing

- So long TSA (we hardly got to know you)
- Adios Audit Commission (we came to love you, grudgingly, sort of)
- · Local offer & national standards here to stay
- · HCA to fund and regulate
- Enhanced role for tenants, MPs, councillors
- · Dysfunctional planning system



Going up ... 🛧

- · Volunteers, community: the **BIG** society
- Localism, local solutions, devolution of power
- The <u>deserving</u> poor
- Getting a job (any job)
- Social enterprises
- Housing mobility for job-seekers
- Home ownership
- Private sector market solutions
- RADICAL EFFICIENCY!



Going down ...

- · Bureaucrats, inspectors, centralism
- Public sector fatcats
- Social housing, public sector solutions
- Tax avoiders, parasitic consultants
- The <u>undeserving</u> poor, eg
 - o "benefit scroungers"
 - o continuing substance abusers
 - o bogus asylum seekers, illegal migrants, travellers



The BIG Society ... what it means

- Giving communities more powers
- Encourage people to take an active role in their communities
- Transferring power from central to local gov't
- Support co-ops, mutuals, charities and social enterprises
- (Publish government data)



Is the BIG Society

- A sensible 'back to basics' response?
- A cynical cover for spending cuts?
- A determined attempt to roll back the state, permanently?
- · Whatever it is, housing assocs not 'in'





An 'influential' thinktank says:

- Affordable housing gimmick helps 0.1% households each year but costs between £0.5 to £1 billion
- Social housing tenants have 66% higher rates of economic inactivity compared to private tenants. This leads to increased poverty and worse social outcomes
- Social housing costs around £32 billion a year: consisting of subsidised rents, maintenance, construction, housing benefit, and additional welfare dependence caused by lower employment rates
- Social housing is a barrier to employment



More thinktank propositions

- More UK social housing than other EU states
- Losing work doesn't jeopardise tenancy
- All working households owner-occupiers
- Drop private rents no need for social housing
- Government takes control of social housing assets, bond sales to create 100,000 new homes





The financial outlook for HAs

- Local authority partners squeezed
- Potential threats to all income and capital streams
 - o Capital grants
 - o Supporting People
 - o Rental income and benefits
 - o Access to borrowing
- Need to increase gearing to develop
- Need to attract more upmarket tenants?



The social outlook

- Fair cuts? Reality of poverty in Britain today
- Social geography will change
- Social inequality in UK set to rise
 Health inequality the sharpest
- 'Undeserving poor' become (a lot) poorer
- Social support services will wither
- Law and order police budgets cut too



Housing association outlook

- Apparently more freedom, but less money
- Focus on (radical) efficiency
- Mergers, groups and sharing
- Good enough will be good enough
 o Excellence becomes an expensive luxury
- Good governance and risk mg't at a premium
- Development game becomes harder
 o What will happen to those who don't/won't play?



The new development deal ...

- If you develop new homes, rents can increase, so long as the local authority agrees
- Rental surpluses need to be spent for the LA areas from which they came
- What grant there is will be at very low levels
- You'll need to borrow more, take risks
- If poor people can't live in rich areas, too bad
- Don't complain go away and make it work



Some longer term issues

- Who houses the poorest, most deprived?
 - o How can we avoid being marginalised organisations housing marginalised people?
 - o How far should we move up-market?
 - o Are we 'housing associations' or something else?
 - o Who will champion (and pay for) the dispossessed?
- Can we unlock new kinds of finance?
 - o Do we need to? Might we have to?
 - o Is there an exciting new model waiting to get out?
 - o Do we want to be deregulated, privatised? CAMPBELL

Government priorities

- Reduce public expenditure
- · Roll back the state
- Increase home ownership
- Punish the undeserving poor
- Replace missing grant:
 - o Increase rents (but not benefits)
 - o Extract wealth from housing associations



The Localism Bill

- Coherent decentralising philosophy: but rushed implementation
- Abolition of regional housing targets
- General powers for local authorities
- Community right to buy, free schools, local control of planning decisions, local referenda
- · Greater LA freedom on lettings, rents
- Abolition of TSA, Audit Commission and more besides

Maintenance & asset management

- Sell off non-performing or high value properties o *Ideally sell one, build (say) three*
- · Plan for radical efficiency gains, procurement
- Will excellence be affordable? Or will good enough be good enough?
- No 'Decent Homes Plus' for now
- In house teams save 20% VAT
- Shared services, joint ventures, mergers



In conclusion

- New policies, framework remember law of unintended consequences
- Housing, social justice not priorities conditions will deteriorate, but how far?
- Our tenants & communities at the sharp end
- Are we now 'part of the problem'?
- Tough times, some new freedoms, opportunities
- Real challenge to our mission, values
- Our place in the sun has gone



Final thoughts

- 1. Housing associations still the main game in town
- 2. The need for what we do will increase
- 3. Some of the Coalition agenda plays to our strengths
- Radical efficiency will be (very) painful, but necessary
- 5. Maintenance is still near the top of tenant concerns
- 6. Without maintenance, asset values will slide
- Most of us will still be here when the Coalition has gone: sadder, poorer and perhaps wiser, but still here

